

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/605,267	STORRER, ERNEST J.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andrea M. Ragonese	3743	

All Participants:

(1) Andrea M. Ragonese, Patent Examiner.

(2) Mark D. Byrne, Applicant's Representative.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 14 February 2005

Time: 6:30 pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
13-15


Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Ragonese called Attorney Byrne to discuss a proposed Examiner's Amendment which would change the improper dependency still present in the pending claims. Claim 15 is dependent on claim 14, which has been cancelled in a previous amendment by the Applicant. Examiner Ragonese proposed changing the dependency from claim 14 to claim 13. Attorney Byrne approved this proposed Examiner's Amendment.



Harry Bennett
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700